



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/530,937 | 05/05/2000 | ALEKSANDR FYEDOROVICH LUKIN | VISP-2 | 6347 |
| 759 | 0 09/06/2002 | | | |
| J HAROLD NISSEN LACHENBACK SIEGEL MARZULLO ARONSON & GREENSPAN ONE CHASE ROAD | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | ENG, GEORGE | |
| | PENTHOUSE SUITE SCARSDALE, NY 10583 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 2643 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/06/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

9

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| - Advisory Action | 09/530,937 | LUKIN, ALEKSANDR FYEDOROVICH | | | | |
| • | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | George Eng | 2643 | | | | |
| -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 27 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applicate a timely filed amendment which | ation. A proper reply to a | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection. | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the feet (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Certains and the filed of the filed | f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | |
| (a) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or simplifying the | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | on(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed amendment | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | | dered but does NOT place the | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a)□ approved or b)□ disapp | roved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | - 1 × 1 × 1 | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | " | | | | |
| | | BINH ^I TIEU PRIMARY EXAMINER | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |

PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)





Continuation of 2. NOTE: the newly amended claims further defiine interface and each telephone set is provided with an interface, which change the scope of the claims. Thus, it would require a further search and condition.